
"Show me what you got, Db2!" (my favorite Db2 for z/OS -DISPLAY commands)

Central Canada Db2 Users Group

May 13, 2024

Robert Catterall, IBM Principal Db2 for z/OS Technical Specialist





© 2024 IBM Corporation

Some up-front information


- To fit the command output lines I wanted to have on a slide, I sometimes removed some lines when you see a gap between lines, that indicates a place where lines were removed
- My intention is NOT to explain every field in the output of every command (we'd never get beyond -DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL in that case); rather, my aim is to highlight particularly useful fields

Agenda

- -DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL -DISPLAY GROUPBUFFERPOOL
- -DISPLAY GROUP
- -DISPLAY DDF

-DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL

-DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL(ACTIVE) DETAIL (first part)



Defaults for DWQT/VDWQT are 30/5 - I generally like to see default values

- Higher values (e.g., 70/40 or 80/50) can be good for a pool dedicated to work file table spaces
- Lower value of VDWQT can be good if number of synchronous writes exceeds number of asynch writes (less relevant in data sharing environment)

© 2024 IBM Corporation

synchronous read activity

-DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL(ACTIVE) DETAIL (second part)

DSNB546I -DP01 PREFERRED FRAME SIZE 1M 0 BUFFERS USING 1M FRAME SIZE ALLOCATED DSNB546I -DP01 PREFERRED FRAME SIZE 1M 200000 BUFFERS USING 4K FRAME SIZE ALLOCATED

Note: for a contiguous buffer pool, even when the pool has a high GETPAGE rate, use of 1 MB page frames may not be ideal


- If the objects assigned to the pool are pretty small (e.g. a few tens of pages apiece), 1 MB page frames could lead to a good bit of wasted space, because a given frame can hold pages from <u>one and only one object</u>
- Also, note that 1 GB frames cannot be used for a contiguous pool

Pools with > 1000 GETPAGEs/sec should be backed by large real storage page frames


• 2 GB page frames can boost efficiency, especially for a buffer pool that is at least 20 GB in size

- If FRAMESIZE(1M) was specified for this pool, why are none of the buffers allocated in 1 MB frames?
- Reason: LPAR doesn't have enough 1 MB frames
- Large frames made available in LPAR via LFAREA parameter (IEASYSxx member of PARMLIB)
- Goal: have enough 1 MB / 2 GB frames to fully back pools defined with FRAMESIZE (1M / 2G), without going overboard - important that LPAR have enough 4 KB frames for processes that need them
- Guidance: add up size of all pools that will use 1 MB
 / 2 GB frames, make the LFAREA value for those
 frames a little larger than that (maybe 5% larger)
- Note: nothing breaks if a pool defined with FRAMESIZE(1M / 2G) not fully backed by large frames - you're just giving up some CPU efficiency

-DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL(ACTIVE) DETAIL (third part)



-DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL(ACTIVE) DETAIL (fourth part)



If sync writes outnumber async writes, may want to reduce value of VDWQT

• Caveat: this rule of thumb does not necessarily apply in a data sharing environment, as the write I/O numbers can be very low in that case (reason: vast majority of page externalization may be driven by commit processing, versus hitting deferred write thresholds) - If you made VPSEQT < 80, and this value is non-zero, maybe VPSEQT is too low

- What this means: a Db2 subsystem has 500 prefetch read engines – if there is a prefetch request and all prefetch engines are busy, prefetch is disabled
- That could lead to synchronous read activity
- If VPSEQT is too low, pages read into a pool via prefetch can get flushed out of the pool soon after being read in, and that means more prefetch <u>requests</u> will lead to prefetch <u>reads</u>, and a high volume of those could overwhelm the prefetch engines

Some people think that a contiguous buffer pool (a PGSTEAL(NONE) pool) is only suitable for read-only tables

- NOT TRUE
- Read vs. update <u>does not matter</u> good candidate for a contiguous pool is an object (table space or index) that is very frequently accessed and is not too large

-DISPLAY BUFFERPOOL(ACTIVE) DETAIL (fifth part)


DSNB416I -DP01 OVERFLOW RANDOM GETPAGE =35749610 OVERFLOW SYNC READ I/O (R) =229316 OVERFLOW SEQ. GETPAGE =18789826 OVERFLOW SYNC READ I/O (S) =3457

For a contiguous buffer pool, you want to see ZERO activity in the pool's overflow area

- To allow for the possibility of buffer stealing, a contiguous pool will have an overflow area
- Size of that area: 10% of pool, but not more than 6400 buffers, not less than 50 buffers
- Any buffer stealing required will happen in the pool's overflow area this in order to preserve the "contiguous-ness" of the main part of the pool
- Why you want to see zero activity in overflow area: buffers in this area are managed using the FIFO buffer-stealing algorithm - you lose the CPU efficiency benefit of the "direct reads" that happen in the contiguous part of the pool
- How you get to zero activity in the overflow area: ensure that all pages of all objects assigned to the pool will fit in the contiguous part of the pool

-DISPLAY GROUPBUFFERPOOL

-DISPLAY GROUPBUFFERPOOL(*) TYPE(GCONN) GDETAIL(INTERVAL) (first part)



- (e.g. 50% larger) than its allocated size
- When that is true, the structure can be dynamically enlarged with the z/OS command SET XCF
- If GBP is already at its maximum size, making it larger will require re-define and rebuild
- In sizing structures when Parallel Sysplex has two coupling facility (CF) LPARs, ensure that all <u>primary</u> structures can fit in one of the CF LPARs (in case other one fails or is brought down for maintenance purposes)

-DISPLAY GROUPBUFFERPOOL(*) TYPE(GCONN) GDETAIL(INTERVAL) (second part)

DSNB782I -DPG1 INCREMENTAL GROUP DETAIL STATISTICS SINCE 07:23:14 APR 24, 2024 DSNB784I -DPG1 GROUP DETAIL STATISTICS

READS • Can issue command, then issue DATA RETURNED = 894408it again an hour later, and DSNB785I -DPG1 DATA NOT RETURNED divide divide counters in = 17385970DIRECTORY ENTRY EXISTED output of 2nd issuance of DIRECTORY ENTRY CREATED = 38179935command by 3600 to get per-DIRECTORY ENTRY NOT CREATED = 1115818, 0second values DSNB786I -DPG1 WRITES = 62295064CHANGED PAGES = 0 CLEAN PAGES FAILED DUE TO LACK OF STORAGE = 0 - You always want this value to be zero - generally speaking, when = 4871CHANGED PAGES SNAPSHOT VALUE it's > 0 that means the GBP DSNB787T -DPG1 RECLAIMS substantially undersized FOR DIRECTORY ENTRIES **>** = 0

You want this value to be zero

- Reason: if GBP directory entry reclaimed, system loses it's "pointer" to a locally-cached page
- When that happens, buffer in which page is cached locally is marked invalid, and next request for page is going to require a check of GBP and (probably) a disk read I/O adds overhead

was last issued, or when GBP was

allocated or reallocated -

whichever happened most

recently

-DISPLAY GROUPBUFFERPOOL(*) TYPE(MCONN) MDETAIL(INTERVAL) (snippet)

DSNB771I -DG1P INCREMENTAL MEMBER DETAIL STATISTICS SINCE 14:08:27 APR 1, 2024

DSNB773I -DG1P MEMBER DETAIL STATISTICS

SYNCHRONOUS READS

DUE TO BUFFER INVALIDATION

DATA RETURNED DATA NOT RETURNED

 $(\bar{B}) = 145$

DSNB774I -DG1P DUE TO DATA PAGE NOT IN BUFFER POOL

DATA RETURNED DATA NOT RETURNED = 52395= 1305422

= 35046

The GBP hit ratio for reads due to "page not found in local pool" is typically very low

 That's not something to worry about - ratio is low because there's no reason to expect page in question to be in the GBP This option provides GBP activity information at member level, versus group level

With these numbers, you can calculate the XI read hit ratio for a GBP (XI is short for cross-invalidation)

- First, see how many sync reads due to XI there were per second for the GBP: (A + B) / (seconds in interval)
- If that is < 20/second, I don't care what XI read hit ratio is for the GBP
- If > 20 sync reads due to XI per second, I care, and XI read hit ratio is A / (A + B)
- If low (especially if < 50%), make GBP bigger if CF LPAR memory is sufficient
- Larger GBP means greater page residency time, and that tends to drive XI read hit ratio higher - I often see a ratio well above 90% for a generously-sized GBP
- Reason this matters: we can get page from GBP way faster than from disk subsystem

-DISPLAY GROUP

-DISPLAY GROUP (snippet)

Relevant to a Db2 data sharing group and to a standalone Db2 subsystem

						an't activate Db2 function level n unless catalog level is at least n Exception: if function level n has no catalog dependencies, catalog must be at least at level of last previous function level that did have catalog dependencies		
*** BEGI	N D	ISPLAY	CURRENT		LEVEL (V12	R1M510	(V12R1M509)) (V12R1M510)	Could be higher than current level, if system was taken to higher level and then taken back to lower level
			HIGHEST PROTOCOL		FUNCTION		,	— Means that with code and catalog levels being what they are, you could activate this function level
DB2 MEMBER	ID	SUB SYS	CMDPREF	STATUS	DB2 LVL	SYSTEM	IRLM SUBSYS I	RLMPROC

DP01	1 DP01 -DP01	ACTIVE 121510 SYSC	LMP1 DP01IRLM
DP02	2 DP02 -DP02	ACTIVE 🗩 121510 SYSD	LMP2 DP02IRLM

This is the code level - goes up when PTF that delivers functionality of a new level is applied to Db2 load library

• Functionality not available on system until function level is <u>activated</u>

-DISPLAY DDF


-DISPLAY DDF DETAIL (first part)

to Db2 system via secure SQL listener port, request will be rejected if client DSNL080I -DP01 DSNLTDDF DISPLAY DDF REPORT FOLLOWS: does not support AT/TLS encryption (aka DSNL081T STATUS=STARTD DSNL082T LOCATION GENERICLU SSL encryption) LUNAME DSNL083I DP01 -NONE -NONE SECPORT=4308 DSNL084I TCPPORT=4309 RESPORT=2104 IPNAME=DP01 DSNL085I IPADDR=::1.2.3.4 If DDF transaction left in in-doubt status DSNL086I SQL DOMAIN=SYSP.BIGCO.COM following a Db2-side or client-side failure, DSNL086T RESYNC DOMAIN=SYSP.BIGCO.COM client app will communicate to the Db2 DSNL087I ALIAS PORT SECPORT STATUS subsystem, via Db2 subsystem's resync port, DSNL088I NLDP01 0 0 STATIC whether that transaction is to be committed DSNL089I MEMBER IPADDR=::1.2.3.4 or aborted

If client application requests connection

-DISPLAY DDF DETAIL (second part)

All DBATs are active - they are either in-use or in the DBAT pool



Robert Catterall

rfcatter@us.ibm.com